



Pergamon

Computers Math. Applic. Vol. 28, No. 4, pp. 19–24, 1994

Copyright © 1994 Elsevier Science Ltd

Printed in Great Britain. All rights reserved

0898-1221/94 \$7.00 + 0.00

0898-1221(94)00123-5

# Some Multiple Series Identities

B. B. JAIMINI AND C. L. KOUL

Department of Mathematics, M.R. Engineering College  
Jaipur 302017, Rajasthan, India

H. M. SRIVASTAVA

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria  
Victoria, British Columbia V8W 3P4, Canada

(Received and accepted February 1994)

**Abstract**—The object of the present paper is to establish three general multiple series identities and to exhibit the connection of each of these identities with various results given recently in the mathematical literature. Some hypergeometric transformation and reduction formulas are also deduced as interesting consequences of the series identities proven here.

**Keywords**—Hypergeometric transformations, Series identities, Generalized Lauricella series, Reduction formulas, Chu-Vandermonde theorem, Gaussian hypergeometric function.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITIONS

Recently, Srivastava [1,2], Buschman and Srivastava [3], Grosjean and Sharma [4], and Grosjean and Srivastava [5] established a number of double and multiple series identities involving essentially arbitrary coefficients (see also [6]). The aim of this paper is to establish three substantially more general multiple series identities involving similar coefficients.

In terms of the Pochhammer symbol  $(\lambda)_n := \Gamma(\lambda + n)/\Gamma(\lambda)$ , the generalized hypergeometric  ${}_pF_q$  series is defined by [7, pp. 19–20]:

$${}_pF_q(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q; z) \equiv {}_pF_q \left[ \begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p \\ \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q \end{matrix} ; z \right] := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^p (\alpha_i)_n}{\prod_{j=1}^q (\beta_j)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!}, \quad (1.1)$$

provided that the series in (1.1) converges (or terminates). The generalized Lauricella series in several variables is defined and represented as follows [7, p. 37]:

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{c:D'; \dots; D^{(n)}}^{A:B'; \dots; B^{(n)}} \left( \begin{matrix} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_n \end{matrix} \right) \\ & \equiv F_{C:D'; \dots; D^{(n)}}^{A:B'; \dots; B^{(n)}} \left( \begin{matrix} [(a) : \theta', \dots, \theta^{(n)}] : [(b') : \phi']; \dots; [(b^{(n)}) : \phi^{(n)}]; \\ [(c) : \psi', \dots, \psi^{(n)}] : [(d') : \delta']; \dots; [(d^{(n)}) : \delta^{(n)}]; z_1, \dots, z_n \end{matrix} \right) \\ & := \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n=0}^{\infty} \Lambda(m_1, \dots, m_n) \frac{z_1^{m_1}}{m_1!} \cdots \frac{z_n^{m_n}}{m_n!}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

The present investigation was supported, in part, by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada under Grant OGP0007353.

Typeset by  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ -TEX

where, for convenience,

$$\Lambda(m_1, \dots, m_n) := \frac{\prod_{j=1}^A (a_j)_{m_1 \theta_j' + \dots + m_n \theta_j^{(n)}} \sum_{j=1}^{B'} (b_j')_{m_1 \phi_j'} \cdots \prod_{j=1}^{B^{(n)}} (b_j^{(n)})_{m_n \phi_j^{(n)}}}{\prod_{j=1}^C (c_j)_{m_1 \psi_j' + \dots + m_n \psi_j^{(n)}} \prod_{j=1}^{D'} (d_j)_{m_1 \delta_j'} \cdots \prod_{j=1}^{D^{(n)}} (d_j^{(n)})_{m_n \delta_j^{(n)}}}, \quad (1.3)$$

the coefficients

$$\theta_j^{(k)} \ (j = 1, \dots, A), \quad \phi_j^{(k)} \ (j = 1, \dots, B^{(k)}), \quad \psi_j^{(k)} \ (j = 1, \dots, C), \\ \delta_j^{(k)} \ (j = 1, \dots, D^{(k)}); \quad (\forall k \in \{1, \dots, n\})$$

are real and nonnegative, and  $(a)$  abbreviates the array of  $A$  parameters  $a_1, \dots, a_A$ ;  $(b^{(k)})$  abbreviates the array of  $B^{(k)}$  parameters

$$b_j^{(k)} \ (j = 1, \dots, B^{(k)}; \quad \forall k \in \{1, \dots, n\}),$$

with similar interpretations for  $(c^{(k)})$ , *et cetera*.

## 2. THE GENERAL SERIES IDENTITIES

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\omega(m_1, \dots, m_r)$  be a single-valued, bounded, and real or complex function of  $r$  nonnegative integer-valued parameters  $m_1, \dots, m_r$ .*

*Then,*

$$\sum_{m_1, \dots, m_r=0}^{\infty} \omega(m_1, \dots, m_r) \prod_{i=1}^r \left\{ \left( 1 - c_i + a_i \frac{(a_i + 1)_{m_i}}{(c_i)_{m_i}} \right) \frac{x_i^{m_i}}{1 - c_i + a_i} \right\} \\ = \sum_{m_1, j_1, \dots, m_r, j_r=0}^{\infty} \Omega(m_1 + j_1, \dots, m_r + j_r) \prod_{i=1}^r \left\{ \frac{(a_i)_{j_i}}{(c_i)_{j_i}} x_i^{m_i + j_i} \right\}, \quad (2.1)$$

provided that each of the series involved is absolutely convergent.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\Omega(m)$  represent a single-valued, bounded, and real or complex function of the nonnegative integer-valued parameter  $m$ .*

*Then,*

$$\sum_{m_1, \dots, m_r=0}^{\infty} \Omega(m_1 + \dots + m_r) \prod_{i=1}^r \left\{ \left( 1 - c_i + a_i \frac{(a_i + 1)_{m_i}}{(c_i)_{m_i}} \right) \frac{x_i^{m_i}}{1 - c_i + a_i} \right\} \\ = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_r, m=0}^{\infty} \Omega(m + j_1 + \dots + j_r) \frac{x^m}{m!} \prod_{i=1}^r \left\{ \frac{(a_i)_{j_i}}{(c_i)_{j_i}} x^{j_i} \right\}, \quad (2.2)$$

provided that each of the series involved is absolutely convergent.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\Omega(m)$  represent a single-valued, bounded, and real or complex function of the nonnegative integer-valued parameter  $m$ .*

*Then,*

$$\sum_{m_1, \dots, m_r=0}^{\infty} \Omega(m_1 + \dots + m_r) \frac{(\alpha)_{m_1 + m_2}}{(\alpha)_{m_1} (\alpha)_{m_2}} \prod_{i=1}^r \left\{ \frac{(\mu_i)_{m_i}}{m_i!} x^{m_i} \right\} \\ = \sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} \Omega(m + 2n) (\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_r + 2n)_m \frac{(\mu_1)_n (\mu_2)_n}{(\alpha)_n} \frac{x^{m+2n}}{m! n!}, \quad (2.3)$$

provided that each of the series involved is absolutely convergent.

PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1, 2, AND 3. To establish Theorem 1, we first denote the left-hand side of (2.1) by  $\Delta_1$  and then use the sum [8, p. 151, Equation (7.1.1)]:

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(a)_k}{(c)_k} = \frac{1}{1-c+a} \left[ 1 - c + a \frac{(a+1)_n}{(c)_n} \right]. \quad (2.4)$$

We thus obtain,

$$\Delta_1 = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_r=0}^{\infty} \omega(m_1, \dots, m_r) \prod_{i=1}^r \left\{ \sum_{j_i=0}^{m_i} \frac{(a_i)_{j_i}}{(c_i)_{j_i}} x_i^{m_i} \right\}. \quad (2.5)$$

For the sake of clarity, we choose to limit ourselves to the summations with respect to  $m_1$  and  $j_1$  alone, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \omega(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r) \sum_{j_1=0}^{m_1} \frac{(a_1)_{j_1}}{(c_1)_{j_1}} x_1^{m_1} \\ = \sum_{m_1, j_1=0}^{\infty} \omega(m_1 + j_1, m_2, \dots, m_r) \frac{(a_1)_{j_1}}{(c_1)_{j_1}} x_1^{m_1 + j_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Repeating the same operations for  $m_i$  and  $j_i$  ( $i = 2, 3, \dots, r$ ) in (2.5), we arrive at the desired result (2.1).

Theorem 2 would follow from the assertion (2.1) of Theorem 1 when we set

$$\omega(m_1, \dots, m_r) = \Omega(m_1 + \dots + m_r) \quad \text{and} \quad x_i = x \quad (\forall i \in \{1, \dots, r\}),$$

and make use of the series identity [8, p. 166, Theorem 2]:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_r=0}^{\infty} f(m_1 + \dots + m_r) (\mu_1)_{m_1} \dots (\mu_r)_{m_r} \frac{z^{m_1 + \dots + m_r}}{m_1! \dots m_r!} \\ = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} f(m) (\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_r)_m \frac{z^m}{m!}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

To establish Theorem 3, we denote the left-hand side of (2.3) by  $\Delta_2$  and use the Chu-Vandermonde theorem [10, p. 3]:

$$\frac{(\alpha)_{m+n}}{(\alpha)_m (\alpha)_n} = \sum_{j=0}^{\min(m,n)} \binom{m}{j} \binom{n}{j} \frac{j!}{(\alpha)_j} \quad (m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}), \quad (2.8)$$

and we thus find that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_2 = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_r, j=0}^{\infty} \Omega(m_1 + \dots + m_r + 2j) \frac{(\mu_1 + j)_{m_1}}{m_1!} \frac{(\mu_2 + j)_{m_2}}{m_2!} \\ \times \frac{(\mu_3)_{m_3}}{m_3!} \dots \frac{(\mu_r)_{m_r}}{m_r!} \frac{(\mu_1)_j (\mu_2)_j}{(\alpha)_j} \frac{x^{2j+m_1+\dots+m_r}}{j!}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

We now use (2.7) to arrive at the desired result (2.3).

### 3. TRANSFORMATION AND REDUCTION FORMULAS

From the assertion (2.3) of Theorem 3, with

$$\Omega(n) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (a_j)_n}{\prod_{j=1}^q (b_j)_n} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0),$$

we arrive at the following multiple hypergeometric identity involving the generalized Lauricella function defined by (1.2):

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{q:1;1;0;\dots;0}^{p+1;1;1;1;\dots;1} \left( \begin{matrix} [(a_p) : 1, \dots, 1], [\alpha : 1, 1, 0, \dots, 0] : \\ [(b_q) : 1, \dots, 1] : \end{matrix} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \begin{matrix} (\mu_1 : 1); (\mu_2 : 1); (\mu_3 : 1); \dots; (\mu_r : 1); \\ (\alpha : 1); (\alpha : 1); \text{---}; \dots; \text{---}; x, \dots, x \end{matrix} \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_{2n} \dots (a_p)_{2n}}{(b_1)_{2n} \dots (b_q)_{2n}} \frac{(\mu_1)_n (\mu_2)_n}{(\alpha)_n} \frac{x^{2n}}{n!} \\ & \quad \times {}_p F_q \left[ \begin{matrix} \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_r + 2n, & a_1 + 2n, \dots, a_p + 2n; \\ b_1 + 2n, \dots, b_q + 2n; \end{matrix} x \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

For  $p = q = 1$ , (3.1) reduces at once to

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{1:1;1;0;\dots;0}^{2;1;1;1;\dots;1} \left( \begin{matrix} (a : 1, \dots, 1), (\alpha : 1, 1, 0, \dots, 0) : \\ (b : 1, \dots, 1) : \end{matrix} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \begin{matrix} (\mu_1 : 1); (\mu_2 : 1); (\mu_3 : 1); \dots; (\mu_r : 1); \\ (\alpha : 1); (\alpha : 1); \text{---}; \dots; \text{---}; x, \dots, x \end{matrix} \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{2n} (\mu_1)_n (\mu_2)_n}{(b)_{2n} (\alpha)_n} \frac{x^{2n}}{n!} {}_2F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_r + 2n, & a + 2n; \\ b + 2n; \end{matrix} x \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Making use of the known result [11, p. 108, Equation 2.10(1)]:

$${}_2F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} -N, \beta; \\ \gamma; \end{matrix} z \right] = \frac{(\gamma - \beta)_N}{(\gamma)_N} {}_2F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} -N, \beta; \\ \beta - \gamma - N + 1; \end{matrix} 1 - z \right] \quad (N \in \mathbb{N}_0) \quad (3.3)$$

to transform the Gaussian hypergeometric  ${}_2F_1$  function in (3.2) when

$$x = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_i = -M_i \quad (\forall i \in \{1, \dots, r\}),$$

and interpreting the resulting sum by means of (3.2) itself, we arrive at the following transformation formula:

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{1:1;1;0;\dots;0}^{2;1;1;1;\dots;1} \left( \begin{matrix} (a : 1, \dots, 1), (\alpha : 1, 1, 0, \dots, 0) : \\ (b : 1, \dots, 1) : \end{matrix} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \begin{matrix} (-M_1 : 1); (-M_2 : 1); (-M_3 : 1); \dots; (-M_r : 1); \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2} \\ (\alpha : 1); (\alpha : 1); \text{---}; \dots; \text{---}; \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix} \right) \\ &= \frac{(b - a)_{M_1 + \dots + M_r}}{(b)_{M_1 + \dots + M_r}} F_{1:1;1;0;\dots;0}^{2;1;1;1;\dots;1} \left( \begin{matrix} (a : 1, \dots, 1), (\alpha : 1, 1, 0, \dots, 0) : \\ (1 - b + a - M_1 - \dots - M_r : 1, \dots, 1) : \end{matrix} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \begin{matrix} (-M_1 : 1); (-M_2 : 1); (-M_3 : 1); \dots; (-M_r : 1); \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2} \\ (\alpha : 1); (\alpha : 1); \text{---}; \dots; \text{---}; \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Similarly, if we take  $x = 1/2$  and  $a = -N$  in (3.2), and proceed as above, we obtain the following transformation formula:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & F_{1:1;1;0;\dots;0}^{2:1;1;1;\dots;1} \left( \begin{matrix} (-N : 1, \dots, 1), & (\alpha : 1, 1, 0, \dots, 0) : \\ & (b : 1, \dots, 1) : \end{matrix} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \begin{matrix} (\mu_1 : 1); & (\mu_2 : 1); & (\mu_3 : 1) & ; \dots; & (\mu_r : 1); & \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2} \\ (\alpha : 1); & (\alpha : 1); & \text{---}; & ; \dots; & \text{---}; & \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix} \right) \\
 &= \frac{(b - \mu_1 - \dots - \mu_r)_N}{(b)_N} \cdot F_{1:1;1;0;\dots;0}^{2:1;1;1;\dots;1} \left( \begin{matrix} (-N : 1, \dots, 1), & (\alpha : 1, 1, 0, \dots, 0) : \\ & (1 - b + \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_r - N : 1, \dots, 1) : \end{matrix} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \begin{matrix} (\mu_1 : 1); & (\mu_2 : 1); & (\mu_3 : 1) & ; \dots; & (\mu_r : 1); & \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2} \\ (\alpha : 1); & (\alpha : 1); & \text{---}; & ; \dots; & \text{---}; & \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix} \right). \tag{3.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, if we use the familiar result [10, p. 11, Equation 2.4(2)]:

$${}_2F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} \alpha, \beta; & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta + 1); & \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix} \right] = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma[\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta + 1)]}{\Gamma[\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + 1)] \Gamma[\frac{1}{2}(\beta + 1)]}$$

in (3.2) when

$$x = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad b = \frac{1}{2}(a + \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_r + 1),$$

we obtain the following reduction formula:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & F_{1:1;1;0;\dots;0}^{2:1;1;1;\dots;1} \left( \begin{matrix} (a : 1, \dots, 1), & (\alpha : 1, 1, 0, \dots, 0) : \\ & (\frac{1}{2}(a + \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_r + 1) : 1, \dots, 1) : \end{matrix} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \begin{matrix} (\mu_1 : 1); & (\mu_2 : 1); & (\mu_3 : 1); & \dots; & (\mu_r : 1); & \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2} \\ (\alpha : 1); & (\alpha : 1); & \text{---}; & \dots; & \text{---}; & \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix} \right) \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma[\frac{1}{2}(a + \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_r + 1)]}{\Gamma[\frac{1}{2}(\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_r + 1)] \Gamma[\frac{1}{2}(a + 1)]} \\
 & \quad \times {}_3F_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}a, \mu_1, \mu_2; & 1 \\ \alpha, \frac{1}{2}(\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_r + 1); & 1 \end{matrix} \right]. \tag{3.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

REMARKS. Setting

$$x_2 = x_3 = \dots = x_r = 0$$

in the assertion (2.1) of Theorem 1, we readily obtain the known result [12, p. 159, Eq. (II.3)]. On the other hand, in view of the series identity (2.7), Theorem 2 with

$$c_i = 1 \quad (\forall i \in \{1, \dots, r\})$$

yields another known result [1, p. 297, Equation (16)]. Finally, in their special cases when  $r = 2$ , our formulas (3.4), (3.5), and (3.6) would correspond to the known results [4, p. 102, Equation (9); p. 108, Equation (15)] and [5, p. 297, Equation (46)].

## REFERENCES

1. H.M. Srivastava, On the reducibility of Appell's function  $F_4$ , *Canad. Math. Bull.* **16**, 295–298 (1973).
2. H.M. Srivastava, Some generalizations of Carlson's identity, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. A* **18** (5), 138–143 (1981).
3. R.G. Buschman and H.M. Srivastava, Series identities and reducibility of Kampé de Fériet functions, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **91**, 435–440 (1982).
4. C.C. Grosjean and R.K. Sharma, Transformation formulae for hypergeometric series in two variables (II), *Simon Stevin* **62**, 97–125 (1988).
5. C.C. Grosjean and H.M. Srivastava, Some transformation and reduction formulas for hypergeometric series in several variables, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **37**, 287–299 (1991).

6. H.M. Srivastava and R.K. Raina, Some combinatorial series identities, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **96**, 9–13 (1984).
7. H.M. Srivastava and P.W. Karlsson, *Multiple Gaussian Hypergeometric Series*, Halsted Press (Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester), John Wiley and Sons, New York, (1985).
8. E.R. Hansen, *A Table of Series and Products*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, (1975).
9. R. Panda, Some multiple series transformations, *Jñānābha Sect. A* **4**, 165–168 (1974).
10. W.N. Bailey, *Generalized Hypergeometric Series, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics*, Volume 32, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1935), Reprinted by Stechert-Hafner Service Agency, New York, (1964).
11. A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, and F.G. Tricomi, *Higher Transcendental Functions*, Volume I, McGraw-Hill, New York, (1953).
12. A.R. Miller and H.M. Srivastava, Reduction formulas for Kampé de Fériet functions associated with certain classes of incomplete Lipschitz-Hankel type integrals of cylindrical functions, *J. Franklin Inst.* **329**, 155–170 (1992).